



# The Divine Law of Political Israel Expired by Sherman Isbell Refuted

*An Excursus on the Failed Reformed View of the Law of Moses*

By Drake Shelton

11/12/2024

**B**efore I begin I would like to give some biography on Sherman Isbell. Isbell was trained in deep state hotbed Bern, Switzerland. Bern was the staging ground of deep state C.I.A. Don (Then the Office of Strategic Services) Allen Dulles during WW2. Albert Einstein began his campaign of Obscurantism at the University of Bern. Karl Barth, the Father of Liberal Neo-Orthodox Theology was educated at Bern. The International School of Berne is down the road from Bern and claims Kim Jong Un as an alumni.

[sermonaudio.com/speakers/11546/](https://sermonaudio.com/speakers/11546/)

Search Broadcasters, Speakers, Sermons, Series

**Sherman Isbell**  
7 Sermons

[Info](#) [Sermons](#) [Series](#) [Articles](#)

Rev. Sherman Isbell was educated at Edinburgh University, the Free Church of Scotland College, and [Bern University \(Switzerland\)](#), and has pastored churches in Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Alberta and Maryland. He has contributed articles to The Dictionary of Scottish Church History and Theology, and is a translator for Reformed Confessions of the 16th and 17th Centuries in English Translation.

Isbell is an elder in my old Church, The Free Church of Scotland. I remember as a young seminary student challenging him on his Idealistic quasi Preterist eschatology to his face in our sessions and from the start I never trusted this man. Our church was supposed to strictly hold to the Westminster Confession and I would quote him Chapter 25,

“VI. There is no other head of the Church but the Lord Jesus Christ. Nor can the Pope of Rome, in any sense, be head thereof; **but is that Antichrist, that man of sin**, and son of perdition, that exalts himself, in the Church, against Christ and all that is called God.”

He would buck-dance and bojangle around these words saying that the Pope is AN antichrist but not THE Antichrist. It got heated to say the least. And here he is at it again, doing



apologetics against R. J. Rushdoony's Theonomy keeping Protestant believers from embracing the only actual solution to the religious divisions and political problems in the West: Judaizing. This essay *The Divine Law of Political Israel Expired*<sup>1</sup> is a Jeromian classic (See my *Essays Theological*, "Robert Eisenman's James the Brother of Jesus Reviewed," page 198 et al.) for the old Catholic interpretation of the New Testament. Isbell begins setting the parameters of the debate, in nauseating hypocrisy, claiming,

"There are important practical implications in the question whether theonomy is consistent with the teaching of the Westminster standards."

Isbell knows full well he rejects what the Westminster Standards have to say about the Antichrist so this foundation he has laid is tottering dogshit. What Isbell and the Jeromians will never do is:

1. They will never do what I did in my book *The Dooms of New Saxony*: go line by line through all the laws in the Torah and explain which ones are abrogated and which continue into the New Covenant and why.
2. If in fact they are appealing to the beginning in the Garden of Eden to detract from the Mosaic judicial law from Matthew 19:8 will they stay consistent and adopt Vegan Nudism?
3. They do not and they never will present an official interpretation of Acts 15 that is consistent with Sola Scriptura.
4. They never will explain to their people that they are secretly taking Jerome's view over that of Augustine and never explain to them that Jerome accused Augustine of being a Nazarene. (See my *Essays Theological*, "Robert Eisenman's James the Brother of Jesus Reviewed," page 198 et al.)
5. They will never prove from scripture that God changed the way he tells time from evening to evening to the Roman midnight to midnight.
6. They will never explain how Noah knew about the dietary laws in Genesis 7:2 even though their celebrated commentaries admit the dietary laws predated Political Israel.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.westminsterconfession.org/resources/a-godly-society/the-divine-law-of-political-israel-expired-general-equity/>



7. They will never explain how all the sabbaths of the Old Covenant are fulfilled and yet not accept Full Preterism.
8. They will never respond to the clear and absurd errors I have found in their glosses of Mark 7:19-Matt. 15:17 and Acts 10-11 in my *Why I left the Christian Church*.
9. They will never admit that the Jeromian Reformed view of the civil laws (primarily usury) opened the door for the Mercantile Order to unleash their wiles against the West with now a Bank towering over every major city in this country. As Anthony Sutton demonstrated, this institution created all the major Communist and Nazi regimes that plague us today.
10. They will never apply the tribal nature of the law (Lev. 19:17-19, Deut. 14:21, 23:19-20, Math. 18:17 etc.) and will constantly obscure their Platonic Natural Law behind quotes from Samuel Rutherford.

Isbell continues,

“The Confession affirms that the law given by God to Adam at creation is the moral law,(14) and that this is the law which was delivered in the ten commandments...”

The problem is Noah knew about the dietary laws (Gen. 7:2) which obviously must have been given to Adam and passed down to Noah.

“Beside this law, the ceremonial and judicial laws were given by God to a particular group, namely the people of Israel, considered as a church under age and as a body politic.”

As to the Reformed distinction of abrogated ceremonial laws I am mostly in agreement with them, but the distinction between moral and judicial is in my view impossible. As I demonstrated in my *The Dooms of New Saxony*, it is impossible to practice the religion of the Bible without a Government that organizes itself civilly with Biblical Religion or at the very least does not interfere with the rites of Biblical Religion. As I learned the hard way out of college, it is impossible to be raised in an Industrialized society where you are required to work on the Sabbath and keep the Sabbath. It is impossible to live in a society that enforces the Feminist agenda and enjoy Biblical Patriarchy. It is impossible to enjoy Biblical Patriarchy or even a Church parish without money. The Civil laws in the Bible, such as the anti-usury laws and the laws of Jubilee existed to maintain a relative economic equality among the men making most men more than capable of affording a family and providing incentive to work and live the wholesome family life. The Civil Laws in the Bible are given to protect the people’s ability to be moral. They



cannot be separated. This is a big reason why the Baptist religion dominates most of America because the traditional Christian view of the distinction between moral and judicial laws is inherently Baptist. People's morality is determined by the civil institutions in their society. This is the exact controversy between John Cotton and Samuel Rutherford vs Roger Williams in our early colonies. I'm sorry, given the tenants of traditional Jeromian Theology Williams was right.

Isbell attempts to answer this obvious objection by saying,

“and there is an element of general equity in the judicial laws which continues to oblige.”

So why won't the Jermonians write out a precise explanation of the laws? They never have and they never will because they cannot present a True Scotsmen. They must always hide their position in a cloud of obscurity in order to protect their employment.

“Theonomy denies the threefold distinction of moral, ceremonial and judicial laws, replacing it with a twofold distinction of moral law and ‘restorative’ (or ceremonial) law.”

And I am in agreement with Theonomy. Isbell cites Anthony Burgess saying,

“And here it must be acknowledged, that the different use of the word moral, hath bred many perplexities”

To quote Paul “For God is not the author of confusion.” (1 Cor. 14:33) The reason your view of the law is so confusing is that it comes from Jerome not from the Bible. Now this is in my view the most important admission we get from Isbell,

“Accordingly, whatever in the Mosaic judicial laws was a sufferance of the hardness of men's hearts, and thus came short of the righteousness in the moral law, has no enduring relevance. Moreover, in the large extent to which Israel was placed under added restriction with a view to preserving them until the coming of Christ, civil requirements which go beyond the general ethical teaching found elsewhere in Scripture have no enduring obligation.”

Here we see the specter of Logos Theology, Joachim, the Gnostic Full Preterism and the abomination of the Pelagian doctrine of Perfection. Are men's hearts no longer hard? Has their been a spiritual evolution away from total depravity pace Logos Theology? Does this spiritual evolution justify the abrogation of text, liturgy and hierarchy? If in fact they are appealing to the beginning in the Garden of Eden to detract from the Mosaic law from Matthew 19:8 will they stay consistent and adopt Vegan Nudism? In order to remain consistent with Jeromian Theology



Isbell and company must say YES to all of these questions and admit that the hellish policies of the modern New World order are purely Christian! The exact steps this Jeromian theology went through in the 19<sup>th</sup> century beginning with John Wesley and then to Charles Finney and the Oneida Community into the modern New World Order is explained in my *Examination of Pelagianism and Open Theism*.

“Theonomists attempt to have the judicial laws speak for themselves as to the essential principles in those laws,<sup>(32)</sup> and are persuaded that these principles are a permanent obligation for all societies.”

If in fact Isbell is telling the truth here, I must deny the notion. Mosaic Law is only possible among a predominantly white society that values traditional family life, hard work and understands quite a bit of Philosophy, specifically the disastrous effects of Platonism. Most ethnicities in the world are simply incapable of this due to their degeneration and are frankly unworthy of keeping Yah’s law.

Isbell quotes Perkins in an attempt to clarify how they determine what judicial laws expired and what remains binding:

“Laws of particular equity, are such as prescribe justice according to the particular estate and condition of the Jews’ Commonwealth and to the circumstances thereof. . . . Of this kind was the law, that the brother should raise up seed to his brother, and many such like: and none of them bind us, because they were framed and tempered to a particular people.”

No explanation is given as to why an increased population is something peculiarly needed among the ancient Hebrews.

The rest of Isbell’s essay are quotations from other scholars that agree with his Obscurantist position in the attempt to threaten the job qualifications of Theonomists instead of actually refuting their position from scripture and offering a better alternative which they cannot and their failed construction is again made most manifest by the supremacy of the Banking industry in the West.

Drake Shelton  
southernprotestant.com  
11/12/2024  
Pretoria, South Africa